## THE ORBITAL STABILITY OF THE TRAJECTORIES OF DYNAMIC SYSTEMS*

G.A. LEONOV

An orbital stability criterion, generating Poincare's criterion /1/ and the results of Hartman and olech $/ 2 /$, is derived. The application of this criteron is illustrated in the case of a two-dimensional dynamic system with an angular coordinate. The problem of the global asymptotic stability of the Lorenz system is considered.
Consider the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x / d t=f(x), x \in R^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(x)$ is a twice continuously differentiable vector-valued function.
We shall say that a component $x_{j}$ of the vector $x$ is an angular coordinate if $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, x_{n}\right) \equiv f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}+2 л, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.

Let $x(t)$ be some trajectory of system (1), contained at $t=0$ in a region $G \subset R^{n}$ which is bounded with respect to the non-angular coordinates. Henceforth we shall also assume that $f(x) \neq 0$ in the closure $\bar{G}$ of $G$.

We now introduce a symmetric non-singular matrix $\quad H(x)=\left\|h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right\|$, where $h_{i}(x)$ are twice continuously differentiable vector-valued functions, and a twice continuously differentiable vector-valued function $\quad q(x)$ satisfying the inequality $\quad f(x)^{*} q(x) \neq 0, \forall x \in \vec{G}$.

Let $H_{0}$ be a symmetric $(n \times n)$ matrix, $\lambda(x)$ a differentiable function, and $t_{j}$ and $\rho_{j}$ real sequences satisfying the conditions $\rho_{j} \leqslant x_{1}<0, t_{j+1}>t_{j}, t_{j+1}-t_{j} \leqslant x_{2}$, where $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are numbers.

We will also put

$$
\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, f\right)=\left\|\frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial x} f, \ldots, \frac{\partial h_{n}}{\partial x} f\right\|, \quad f=f(x)
$$

where $\partial h^{\prime} \partial x$ is the Jacobian of the vector-valued function $h(x)$ at $x$.
Theorem 1. Assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2} z^{*}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, f\right) z-z^{*} H \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} z-\frac{z^{*} H f}{f^{*} q}\left[f^{*} \frac{\partial q^{*}}{\partial x}+q^{*} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right] z \leqslant  \tag{2}\\
\lambda z^{*} H z, \quad \forall z \models\left\{z \mid z^{*} q(x(t))=0\right\} \\
H=H(x(t)), \quad f=f(x(t)), \quad q=q(x(t)), \quad \Lambda=\Lambda(x(t))
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, if the quadratic form $z^{*} H(x(t)) z$ is positive definite on the set $\left\{z \mid z^{*} q(x(t))=0\right\}$ and moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{j}=\int_{i_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} \lambda(x(t)) d t \leqslant \rho_{j} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the trajectory $x(t)$ is orbitally asymptotically stable.
If the quadratic form $z^{*} H\left(x\left(t_{j}\right)\right) z$ is non-degenerate on the set $\left\{z \mid z^{*} q\left(x\left(t_{j}\right)\right)=0\right\}$, can take negative values and moreover

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda_{j} \geqslant-p_{j} \\
z^{*} H(x(t)) z \geqslant z^{*} H_{0} z, \quad V_{z} \in\left\{z \mid z^{*} q(x(t))=0\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

then the trajectory $x(t)$ is orbitally unstable.
Proof. Consider the set

$$
\Omega(\delta)=\bigcup_{t \geqslant 0}\left\{y \mid(y-x)^{*} H(x)(y-x)=\delta, \quad(y-x)^{*} q(x)=0\right\}, \quad x=x(t)
$$

Here $\delta$ is some sufficiently small number.
Fixing a point $y_{0} \in \Omega(\delta)$, we investigate the surface $\Omega(\delta)$ in a fairly small neighbourhood of $y_{0}$. Since $y_{0} \equiv \Omega(\delta)$, a number $t=0$ exists such that

$$
z^{*} H(x) z=\delta, z^{*} q(x)=0, z=y_{0}-x, x=x(t)
$$

Let $\tau$ be a number near $t$. Then

$$
x(\tau) \approx x(t)+f(r(t))(\tau-t)
$$

We will now define a mapping (throughout, unless otherwise stated, $f=f(x), K=K(x)$. $q=q(x), x=x(t))$

$$
v\left(y_{0}\right) \cdots y_{0}+\alpha[f+K z]
$$

which carries the point $y_{0}$ into the hyperplane

$$
\Phi=\left\{v \left\lvert\, w^{*}\left[q+(\tau-t) \frac{\partial_{q}}{\partial x} f\right]=0\right.\right\}, \quad w=v-(x-f(\tau-t))
$$

in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}^{*} H(x+(\tau-t) f) w_{0} \approx \delta, w_{0}=v\left(y_{0}\right)-(x+(\tau-t) f) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number $\alpha$ will be chosen so that $v\left(y_{0}\right) \sqsupseteq \Phi$, while the matrix $K$ is chosen so as to satisfy (5). Clearly,

$$
\alpha \approx \frac{i^{*} q-\sigma^{*} \frac{\partial q}{\partial r} f}{f^{*} q+q^{*} K z}(\tau-t)
$$

We are assuming here that $z(\tau-t)^{-1}$ is large. Hence it follows that a sufficient condition for (5) to be valid is that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2} z^{*}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, f\right) z+z^{*} H\left[K-\frac{j q^{*}}{f^{*} q} K-\frac{f f^{*}}{j^{*} q} \frac{\partial q^{*}}{\partial x}\right] z=0  \tag{6}\\
V z \in\left\{z \mid z^{*} q(x(t))=0\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (5) that a vector $l\left(y_{0}\right)$ normal to $\Omega(\delta)$ at the point $y_{0}$ can be determined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
l\left(y_{0}\right)=-l_{1}-\frac{l_{1}^{*} l_{2}}{q^{*} l_{2}} q, l_{1} & =l_{1}\left(y_{0}\right) \cdots 2(I-Q) H z, \quad Q=q q^{*}|q|^{2}, \\
l_{2} & =l_{2}\left(y_{0}\right)-f+K z
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
1_{2}^{\prime} l\left(y_{0}\right)=\left(I-L_{2}\right)(I-Q) H z=\left(I-L_{2}\right) H z, L_{2}=q l_{2}^{*} / q^{*} l_{2}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{1}{2} l\left(y_{0}\right)^{*} f\left(y_{0}\right) \approx\left[f \div \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} z\right]^{*}\left(I-L_{2}\right) H z \approx z^{*} H\left(I-\frac{f q^{*}}{f^{*} q}\right)\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}-K\right) z
$$

Hence, using (6), we see that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2} l\left(y_{0}\right)^{*} f\left(y_{0}\right) \approx z^{*}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, f\right)+H \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}-\right.  \tag{7}\\
\left.H f \frac{1}{f^{*} q}\left(f^{*} \frac{\partial q^{*}}{\partial_{x}}+q^{*} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)\right\}^{z}
\end{gather*}
$$

We can now show that the trajectory $y(t)$ of system (1) passing at time through $y_{0}$ will satisfy the following inclusion relation to within $(\tau-t)^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(\tau) \in \Omega\left(\delta+(\tau-t) l\left(y_{0}\right)^{*} f\left(y_{0}\right)\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To that end, we observe that for small $(\tau-t) y(\tau) \approx y(t)+f(y(t))(\tau-t)$. Hence the vector $y(\tau)$ lies, to within $(\tau-t)^{2}$, in the hyperplane $L$ parallel to the hyperplane tangent to $\Omega(\delta)$ and passing through the point

$$
y_{0}+l\left(y_{0}\right) l\left(y_{0}\right)^{*} f\left(y_{0}\right)\left|l\left(y_{0}\right)\right|^{-2}(\tau-t)
$$

It is also clear that $L$ passes through the point $y_{0}+u$ lying on the hyperplane

$$
\left\{x\left\{q(x(t))^{*}(x-x(t))=0\right\}\right.
$$

where

$$
u=l_{1}\left(y_{0}\right) l\left(y_{0}\right)^{*} f\left(y_{0}\right)\left|l_{1}\left(y_{0}\right)\right|^{-2}(\tau-t)
$$

Hence, using the relation $2\left(y_{0}-x(t)\right)^{*} H(x(t)) u=(\tau-t) l\left(y_{0}\right)^{*} /\left(y_{0}\right)$ and the fact that the vectors normal to $L$ and to $\Omega\left(0+(\tau-t) l\left(y_{0}\right) *\left(y_{0}\right)\right.$ at the point $y_{0} \| u$ are identical to within $(\tau-t)$, we obtain (8).

The inclusion relation (8), Eq. (7) and condition (2) of the theorem imply that for all $\tau \geqslant t$ one has $y(\tau) \rightleftharpoons \Omega(\varphi(\tau))$, where $\varphi(\tau)$ is some continuous function such that

$$
\varphi(\tau) \leqslant \delta \exp \int_{i}^{\tau} \lambda(x(t)) d t
$$

Using this inequality and conditions (3) and (4) of the theorem, and applying the standard Lyapunov technique /1, 2/, we obtain the assertion of the theorem.

Note that in the stable case, putting $q(x)=H(x) f(x), \lambda(x) \equiv \mathrm{const}$, Theorem 1 implies an assertion similar to Theorem 14.2 in /2/.

Now let us assume that the matrix in Theorem 1 has the form $H(x)=|f(x)| 2 I, \lambda(x)=\lambda_{1}(x)+$ $\lambda_{2}(x)$, where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are eigenvalues of the matrix $(\partial f / \partial x+\partial f * / \partial x) / 2$ which satisfy the conditions $\lambda_{1} \geqslant \lambda_{2} \geqslant \ldots \geqslant \lambda_{n}$. We then obtain the following assertion from Theorem 1 and well-known results /2/:

Theorem 2. If a number $\varepsilon>0$ exists such that for some solution $x(t) \equiv G$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{j}}^{t_{i+1}}\left[\lambda_{1}(x(t))-\lambda_{2}(x(t))\right] d t \leqslant-\varepsilon, \quad \mathrm{Vj} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $x(t)$ is orbitally asymptotically stable.
Theorem 2 may be viewed as a generalization, to some extent, of Poincare's criterion /1/ and the Hartman-olech theorem /2/.

Let us assume now that the set $\bar{G}$ is positively invariant and that $\bar{G}$ contains a unique asymptotically stable equilibrium state of system (1). In that case, using Theorem 2 and arguments from $/ 2 /$, we obtain the following

Theorem 3. If for any solution $x(t) \Leftarrow G$ inequality (9) is satisfied; then $G$ is the domain of attraction of the stable equilibrium state.

It is also_clear from Theorem 2 that if there is no equilibrium state in a positively invariant set $\bar{G}$, but inequality (9) is still true, then trajectories of system (1) situated in $\bar{G}$ will approach one another as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

We will now consider some examples illustrating the application of Theorems 1-3.
Example 1. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\cdot}+\alpha \theta^{\prime}+\varphi(\theta)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a positive number, and $\varphi^{( }(\theta)$ is a twice differentiable $2 \pi$-periodic function with two zeros $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ in the set $[0,2 \pi$ ). Eq. (10) describes the motion of a pendulum in a viscous medium /3/, the dynamics of a synchronous motor in its simplest idealization /4/, the operation of certain phase synchronization systems $/ 5 /$, and the dynamics of Josephson junctions /6/.

Let $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\theta_{j}\right) \neq 0$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \varphi(\theta) d \theta<0
$$

Then it is well-known /3/ that a number $\alpha_{c r}>0$ exists such that for $\alpha<\alpha_{c r}$ one can find in the phase space of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{*}==\eta, \eta^{*}=-\alpha \eta-\varphi(\theta) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

a positively invariant set $G_{1}$, bounded with respect to the coordinate $\eta$, which is filled with circular motions $/ 3,7 /$. Noreover, $G_{1}$ will also contain a limit cycle of the second kind. Since $\lambda_{1}(x)+\lambda_{2}(x)=-\alpha<0$ for system (11), it follows from Theorem 2 that a limit cycle of the second kind will be orbitally stable and $G_{1}$ is its domain of attraction.

On the other hand, if $\alpha<\alpha_{c r}$ the phase space of system (11) will contain a bounded positively invariant set $\bar{G}_{2}$ which contains a unique asymptotically stable equilibrium state /3/. It follows at once from Theorem 3 that $G_{2}$ is the domain of attraction of this state.

The only trajectories of system (11) for which the conditions of Theorem 2 do not all
hold are saddle-point equilibrium states and the separatrices that approach them as $t \rightarrow-\infty$. (The condition that fails to hold here is $x(t) \in G$, where $G$ does not contain equilibrium states). In the final analysis, therefore, the above-mentioned trajectories will be the boundaries of the domains of attraction of the stable equilibrium states and limit cycles of the second kind.

This result is well-known /3/ and can be derived by other, different methods. It is worth noting here that the use of Theorem 2 and 3 involves a minimum of calculations.

Example 2. Let us investigate the global asymptotic stability of the Lorenz system /8, 9/

$$
\begin{gather*}
x=-d(x-y), y \cdots x-y-x z, z--b z+x y  \tag{12}\\
d>0, r>1, b>0
\end{gather*}
$$

We recall that system (1) is said to be globally asymptatically stable if any of its solutions tends, as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, to some equilibrium state $/ 7 /$.

If $r>1$ system (12) has three equilibrium states. We can therefore combine the application of Theorem 3 with fairly well-developed estimates for attractors of system (12) /9-11/, Lhanks to which, for certain parameter values, one can state that an attractor of system (12) is contained in a set $G_{1} \cup G_{2} \cup\{0\}$, where $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are disjoint bounded regions each of whose closures contains exactly one equilibrium state.

Here we shall need the following simple assertion.
Lemma. If $l \geqslant 2$, an attractor of system (12) is contained in the set

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{z \geqslant 0, y^{2}+\left(z--r^{2}<B r^{2}, y^{2} \leqslant B r^{2}-1, x^{2} \leqslant B r^{2}-1\right\}\right.  \tag{13}\\
B=b^{2} / 4(b-1)
\end{gather*}
$$

if $b \leqslant 2$, an attractor of system (12) is contained in the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{z \geqslant 0, y^{2}+\{z-r)^{2}<r^{2}, y^{2} \leqslant r^{2}-1, x^{3} \leqslant r^{2}-1\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof follows the same lines as the proof of the analogous result in $/ 12 /$. When $b<2$ we have

$$
\left[y(t)^{2}+(z(t)-r)^{2}-r^{2}\right] \leq-b\left[y(t)^{2}+(z(t)-r)^{2}-r^{2}\right]
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\operatorname{mim}}_{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left[u(t)^{2}+(f()-r)^{2}\right\} \leqslant r^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} z(i) \geqslant 0$ was proved in $/ 10 /$.
The fact that the sets $\left\{y^{2}+(z-r)^{2} \leqslant r^{2},|x|=c\right\}$ are contact-free for $c>r$ and the estimate
(12) imply that $\overline{\lim }_{t \rightarrow+\infty}|x(t)| \leqslant r$.

Let $\prod_{t \rightarrow+\infty}|x(t)| \leqslant x_{k}$. Then it follows from this inequality, the second equation of system (12) and (15), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{t \rightarrow+\infty} \eta(t)^{2} \leqslant x_{h}^{2} r^{2}\left(1+x_{h}^{2}\right)^{-1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that the sets $\left\{y^{2}+(z-r)^{2} \leqslant r^{2}, y^{2} \leqslant x_{k}{ }^{2} r^{2}\left(1-x_{k}{ }^{2}\right)^{-1},|x|=c\right\}$ are contact-free for $c^{2} \geqslant$ $x_{k}{ }^{2} r^{2}\left(1+x_{k}^{2}\right)^{-1}$ and the estimates (15) and (16) imply the inequality

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Tim}}_{i \rightarrow \alpha^{x}}(t)^{2} \leqslant x_{k}^{2} r^{2}\left(1+x_{k}^{2}\right)^{-1}
$$

Putting $x_{k+1}^{2}=x_{k}^{2} r^{2} /\left(1+x_{k}^{2}\right), x_{0}=r$ and letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in this equality, we obtain $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}^{2}=$ $r^{2}-1$.

This last relation proves the assertion of the lemma when $b \leqslant ?$. When $b>2$ the proof proceeds along similar lines.

We now present one of the simplest sufficient conditions for an attractor of system (12) to lie in the set $G_{1} \cup G_{2} \cup\{0\} / 11 /$ :

$$
\mu=\frac{d+1}{\sqrt{\bar{d}(r-1)}}, \quad \gamma=\frac{2 d}{b}, \quad \mu_{0}^{2} / 4 \geqslant \gamma \sigma_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{C r^{2}-1} \frac{2 d(r-1)}{}, \quad C=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
B \text { if } b \geqslant 2  \tag{17}\\
1 \text { if } b \leqslant 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $b=8 / 3, d==10$, condition (17) holds for $r \geqslant 4$.
Since $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}=-(d+b+1)$, a sufficient condition for the assumptions of Theorem 3 to be valid is that $\lambda_{3}>\cdots(d \div b+1)$ on the sets (13) and (14). Applying Sylvester's criterion to the matrix

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}: \frac{\partial f^{*}}{\partial x}\right)-\lambda_{3} I
$$

we see that the last inequality will hold provided that

$$
\left[(b+1)(b+d)-(d+r-z)^{2} / 4\right](d+1)-(d+b) y^{2}, 4>0
$$

on the sets (13) and (14). This inequality will hold if $b \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(b+1)(b+d)>\frac{C_{r^{2}}(d+b)}{4(d+1)} \div \frac{d^{2}}{4}+\frac{d r}{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if conditions (17) and (18) are satisfied, then by Theorem $3 G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are domains of attraction of stable equilibrium states and, consequently, system (12) will be globally asymptotically stable. Note that for $b=8 / 3$ and $d=10$, inequality (18) will hold for any $\quad r \leqslant 3.5$. Thus, estimates (17), (18) are somewhat superior to Smith's estimate /13/ in some cases. We also point out that further improvement of the global asymptotic stability conditions obtained here can be achieved by applying the apparatus developed in /14, 15/ for estimating attractors of system (12).
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